REBUTTAL TO THE ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION C

The people who signed the argument against Prop C represent Chula Vista's old guard political establishment. These special interests have dominated Chula Vista for decades now, and they are still trying to hold on to power by doing what they always do when they feel threatened. They launch personal attacks, this time an attack against respected community leader Earl Jentz. Let them know their slash and burn tactics will not work in Chula Vista. Yes on C!

The reasons for this initiative are clear:

- 1) Prop C sets fair salary and term limits for the city attorney.
- 2) Prop C ends the special interests' ability to spend your money to chase their schemes. Just last year the city attorney, along with the Mayor and Councilmember Bensoussan, spent \$200,000 of your money to hire private attorneys to help them secretly takeover demolition of the South Bay power plant. When the truth became known, the full city council acted in public to end the money grab. Prop C would have stopped this waste and would have required the full city council to be involved from the beginning.
- 3) Prop C allows the full city council to appoint a lawyer when the city attorney is conflicted or the subject of an investigation. Independent counsel provisions were created in the aftermath of the Watergate scandal and exist at every level of government. Read the Impartial Analysis. Stop the fox from watching the hen house.

Yes on C!

ARGUMENT/REBUTTAL SIGNATURES

(The following statement is required by California Elections Code Section 9600.)					
The undersigned authors of the	KebuTlal to	Hy argument		INST	ballot proposition
P C	Direct OR Rebuttal to the	c/ 1 1 h	In favo	er of <u>OR</u> Ágainst	Letter
at the WIMDIY election:	for the <u>Ci7y of</u>	2015 N12	<u>/a</u>	to be held or	n <u>JU4e</u> hereby state
Election Title	,	Jurisdiction			Date
that such argument is true and correct to the best of here is knowledge and belief.					
his/her/their					
NOTE At least one of the authors/signers (designated the "filer") shall meet the criteria of being a registered voter in the jurisdiction in which the proposition appears,					
Any other signers are not required to meet that or any other criteria and are assumed to appear at the discretion and approval of the filer.					
\cdot					
*Organization Title (Optional)					
NAME (Signature):	les F. DX	NAME	(Signature)	Il We	Un
(Print): Cas le	os F. Lopez		(11116).		-R5
*TITLE (Optional): Petivo	ed teacher	*ттте	(Optional):	fired C	VPD CLIEF
ADDRESS:			ADDRESS:		
			r - (
DATE: 3/29/2012 PHONE:			3/20/12		
DATE: 3/29/2012 PHONE:			3/29/12	PHONE:	
NAME (Signature): Lus Chaque			NAME (Signature): Lety Water		
(Print): Byqustrae (have)			(Print): Feter J. Watry Jr.		
0.4.0812.4			PT-1P-1		
*TITLE (Optional): Relimed and Control			(Optional):	Ne/Ited	-//0/2330/
ADDRESS:			SS:		Marie Paris Carlos Carl
					Account
DATE: 3-29-12 PHON			3/20/1	~	
DATE: 5 2 6 7 / 2 PH	ON	DATE:	A3/ 1-4/ /2	PHONE:	
	NAME (Signature):	Navid F. 1	Jani-		NOTE
W TO many state of table assults	(Print);	Varid P. Dan	en		gistrar of Voters policy does not
may be printed in the	by the spirited in the				allow candidates, campaign managers, campaign treasurers, argument or rebuttal signers of ballot measures or
Sample Ballot/Voter *TITLE (Optional):			NIEV A	rel	
Information Pamphlet.	ADDRESS:				embers of their immediate family to
					st a polling place or act as a poll orker in the jurisdiction in which the
	C 75 /0			са	ndidacy or ballot measure of the
	DATE: 328-12	PHONE:	_	<i>Int</i>	erested party is being voted upon.